

Grid Minors of Graphs on the Torus

MAURITS DE GRAAF

*Department of Mathematics, University of Amsterdam,
Plantage Muidergracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

AND

ALEXANDER SCHRIJVER

*CWI, Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands and
Department of Mathematics, University of Amsterdam,
Plantage Muidergracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Received February 6, 1992

We show that any graph G embedded on the torus with face-width $r \geq 5$ contains the toroidal $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}r \rfloor$ -grid as a minor. (The *face-width* of G is the minimum value of $|C \cap G|$, where C ranges over all homotopically nontrivial closed curves on the torus. The *toroidal k -grid* is the product $C_k \times C_k$ of two copies of a k -circuit C_k .) For each fixed $r \geq 5$, the value $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}r \rfloor$ is largest possible. This applies to a theorem of Robertson and Seymour showing, for each graph H embedded on any compact surface S , the existence of a number ρ_H such that every graph G embedded on S with face-width at least ρ_H contains H as a minor. Our result implies that for $H = C_k \times C_k$ embedded on torus, $\rho_H := \lceil \frac{3}{2}k \rceil$ is the smallest possible value. Our proof is based on deriving a result in the geometry of numbers. It implies that for any symmetric convex body K in \mathbb{R}^2 one has $\lambda_2(K) \cdot \lambda_1(K^*) \leq \frac{3}{2}$ and that this bound is smallest possible. (Here $\lambda_i(K)$ denotes the minimum value of λ such that $\lambda \cdot K$ contains i linearly independent integer vectors. K^* is the polar convex body.)

© 1994 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any graph G embedded on a surface S , the *face-width* (or *representativity*) $r(G)$ of G is the minimum of $|C \cap G|$, where C ranges over all homotopically nontrivial closed curves on S . Robertson and Seymour [1] showed:

for each graph H embedded on a compact surface S there exists an integer ρ_H so that each graph G embedded on S with $r(G) \geq \rho_H$ contains H as a minor. (1)

In this paper we determine the smallest value of ρ_H for a certain class of graphs H embedded on the torus, viz. the toroidal grids. For each $k \geq 3$, the *toroidal k -grid* is the product $C_k \times C_k$ of two k -circuits C_k . (By definition, $C_k \times C_k$ has vertices (i, j) for $0 \leq i, j \leq k-1$, where (i, j) and (i', j') are adjacent if either $i=i'$ and $j=j \pm 1 \pmod{k}$ or $j=j'$ and $i=i' \pm 1 \pmod{k}$.)

Clearly, each toroidal k -grid can be embedded on the torus. In fact, there is a unique embedding, up to homeomorphisms (of the torus and of the grid). (If $k \geq 5$, this follows easily from the fact that each face of the embedded graph should be a quadrangle. For $k=3$ and 4 this takes some elaboration.) We show

THEOREM 1. *For the toroidal k -grid $H = C_k \times C_k$ embedded on the torus, $\rho_H := \lceil \frac{3}{2}k \rceil$ is the smallest integer value one can take for ρ_H in (1).*

We derive this from

THEOREM 2. *Any graph G embedded on the torus contains the toroidal $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}r(G) \rfloor$ -grid as a minor (if $r(G) \geq 5$). For each integer $r \geq 3$ there exists a graph G embedded on the torus with $r(G) = r$ and not containing the toroidal $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}r \rfloor + 1$ -grid as a minor.*

Proof of the Implication Theorem 2 \Rightarrow Theorem 1. Choose $k \geq 3$. Let G be a graph with $r(G) \geq \lceil \frac{3}{2}k \rceil$. Since $k = \lfloor \frac{2}{3} \lceil \frac{3}{2}k \rceil \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{2}{3}r(G) \rfloor$, Theorem 2 implies that G contains the toroidal k -grid as a minor.

Let $r := \lceil \frac{3}{2}k \rceil - 1$. By Theorem 2 there exists a graph G on the torus with $r(G) = r$ and not containing the toroidal $(\lfloor \frac{2}{3}r \rfloor + 1)$ -grid as a minor. Since $k = \lfloor \frac{2}{3}r \rfloor + 1$, Theorem 1 follows. ■

To prove Theorem 2, we use some results from [2, 3]. Represent the torus as the product $S^1 \times S^1$ of two copies of the unit circle S^1 in the complex plane. For $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let $C_{m,n}: S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$ be the closed curve on the torus given by

$$C_{m,n}(z) := (z^m, z^n) \quad (2)$$

for $z \in S^1$.

Let G be a graph embedded on the torus. Define $\varphi_G: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\varphi_G(m, n) := \min_{C \sim C_{m,n}} \text{cr}(C, G), \quad (3)$$

where $C \sim C'$ means that C is a closed curve freely homotopic to closed curve C' and where $\text{cr}(C, G)$ denotes the number of intersections of C and

G , counting multiplicities. So $r(G)$ is equal to the minimum value of $\varphi_G(m, n)$ over all vectors $(m, n) \neq (0, 0)$ in \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Let $P(G)$ be the following set in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$P(G) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid mx + ny \leq \varphi_G(m, n) \text{ for all } (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}. \quad (4)$$

Then $P(G)$ is a symmetric integer polygon (i.e., $P(G) = -P(G)$ and it is a polygon with all vertices having integer coordinates only). Define the *height* $\text{height}(K)$ of a polygon K by

$$\text{height}(K) := \min_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, (m, n) \neq (0, 0)} \max\{mx + ny \mid (x, y) \in K\}. \quad (5)$$

As $\varphi_G(m, n) = \max\{mx + ny \mid (x, y) \in P(G)\}$ (cf. [2]), we have

$$r(G) = \text{height}(P(G)). \quad (6)$$

The following was shown in [3]:

let $k \geq 3$; a graph G embedded on the torus contains a toroidal k -grid as a minor, if and only if $(1/k)P(G)$ contains two linearly independent integer vectors. (7)

Assertions (6) and (7) imply that to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to show

THEOREM 3. *Let $r \geq 3$. Then for each symmetric integer polygon K of height r , the polygon $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}r \rfloor^{-1} K$ contains two linearly independent integer vectors. Here $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}r \rfloor$ cannot be replaced by any larger integer.*

We show Theorem 3 in Section 2. We first note that it implies the following bound in the geometry of numbers. Let K be a symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^2 (i.e., K is a compact full-dimensional convex set with $K = -K$). Let $\lambda_1(K)$ denote the minimum value of λ so that $\lambda \cdot K$ contains a nonzero integer vector. Let $\lambda_2(K)$ denote the minimum value of λ so that $\lambda \cdot K$ contains two linearly independent integer vectors. Let K^* denote the *polar* convex body:

$$K^* := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^T y \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}. \quad (8)$$

Then

COROLLARY 3a. *For each symmetric convex body K in \mathbb{R}^2 one has $\lambda_2(K) \cdot \lambda_1(K^*) \leq \frac{3}{2}$. The bound $\frac{3}{2}$ is smallest possible.*

Proof. It suffices to show the corollary for symmetric integer polygons K with $r := \text{height}(K)$ being a multiple of three. Now $r := \lambda_1(K^*)$, while by Theorem 3, $\lambda_2(K) \leq (\frac{2}{3}r)^{-1}$. So $\lambda_2(K) \cdot \lambda_1(K^*) \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Similarly, any better value in the corollary would imply a better factor in Theorem 3. ■

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Call a symmetric integer polygon K r -minimal, if $\text{height}(K) \geq r$ while $\text{height}(K') < r$ for each symmetric integer polygon $K' \neq K$ contained in K . So Theorem 3 follows from:

let $r \geq 2$; then for each r -minimal symmetric integer polygon K , the polygon $(3/2r)K$ contains two linearly independent integer vectors; moreover, there exists an r -minimal symmetric integer polygon K so that $(\lfloor 2r/3 \rfloor + 1)^{-1} \cdot K$ does not contain two linearly independent integer vectors. (9)

In order to prove (9), we use the classification of r -minimal symmetric integer polygons given in [3]. Each of these polygons is a quadrangle or a hexagon. The quadrangles arise as follows. Choose integer values $0 \leq \alpha < r$ and $0 \leq \beta < r$. Let $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the convex hull of the points $\pm(r, \alpha)$, $\pm(-\beta, r)$. Then $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ is r -minimal, and all symmetric r -minimal integer polygons that are quadrangle arise in this way, up to unimodular transformations (= linear transformations of \mathbb{R}^2 fixing \mathbb{Z}^2).

The hexagons arise as follows. Choose integer values $0 < \alpha < r$, $0 < \beta < r$, and $0 < \gamma < r$. Let $H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ be the convex hull of the points $\pm(r, \alpha)$, $\pm(r - \beta, r)$, $\pm(-\gamma, r - \gamma)$. Again, $H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ is r -minimal, and all symmetric r -minimal integer polygons that are hexagons arise in this way, up to unimodular transformations. So it suffices to show the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. For each choice of integers $0 \leq \alpha < r$ and $0 \leq \beta < r$, we have $\lambda_2(Q_{\alpha, \beta}) \leq 3/2r$. For fixed r , we cannot replace $3/2r$ by k^{-1} for any integer $k > 2r/3$.

Proof. One easily finds that $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ is determined by the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{r - \alpha}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} x + \frac{r + \beta}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} y \right| &\leq 1, \\ \left| \frac{r + \alpha}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} x + \frac{\beta - r}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} y \right| &\leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For each vector (x, y) , let the norm $\|(x, y)\|$ be the minimum λ for which (x, y) belongs to $\lambda \cdot Q_{\alpha, \beta}$. Note that (x, y) can be easily calculated from (10):

$$\|(x, y)\| = \frac{\max\{|(r - \alpha)x + (r + \beta)y|, |(r + \alpha)x + (\beta - r)y|\}}{r^2 + \alpha\beta}. \quad (11)$$

To show the first statement in the lemma, we have to find two linearly independent integer vectors each with norm at most $3/2r$. We may assume $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then

$$\|(1, 0)\| = \frac{r + \alpha}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} \leq \frac{r + \alpha}{r^2 + \alpha^2} \leq \frac{3}{2r}. \quad (12)$$

(The first inequality follows from $\alpha \leq \beta$. The second inequality follows from the fact that $(1 + x) \leq \frac{3}{2}(1 + x^2)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.)

If $\beta < r/3$, then

$$\|(0, 1)\| = \frac{r + \beta}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} < \frac{r + r/3}{r^2} < \frac{3}{2r}. \quad (13)$$

If $\beta \geq r/3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(0, 1)\| + \|(1, -1)\| &= \frac{r + \beta}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} + \frac{2r + \alpha - \beta}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} \\ &= \frac{3r + \alpha}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} \leq \frac{3r + 3\alpha\beta/r}{r^2 + \alpha\beta} = \frac{3}{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

implying that at least one of $(0, 1)$, $(1, -1)$ has norm at most $3/2r$. This shows the first statement of the lemma.

To show the second statement, choose $r \geq 3$. Let $k := \lfloor 2r/3 \rfloor + 1$. Let $\alpha := 0$ and $\beta := \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$. We define a norm as in (11). Let (x, y) be any integer vector with norm at most $1/k$. We show that $y = 0$, implying that there do not exist two linearly independent integer vectors each with norm at most $1/k$. We may assume $x \geq 0$.

First let r be even. Then $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{|x + \frac{3}{2}y|, |x - \frac{1}{2}y|\}/r \leq 1/k$. If $x = 0$ then $|\frac{3}{2}y| \leq r/k < \frac{3}{2}$, and hence $y = 0$. If $x \geq 1$, $y \geq 1$, then $r/k \geq |x + \frac{3}{2}y| \geq \frac{5}{2} > r/k$. If $x \geq 1$, $y \leq -1$, then $r/k \geq |x - \frac{1}{2}y| \geq \frac{3}{2} > r/k$.

Next let r be odd. Then $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{|x + (\frac{3}{2} - 1/2r)y|, |x - (\frac{1}{2} + 1/2r)y|\}/r \leq 1/k$. Note that $k \geq \frac{2}{3}r + \frac{1}{3}$, implying $k(\frac{3}{2} - 1/2r) \geq (2r/3 + \frac{1}{3})(\frac{3}{2} - 1/2r) = r + \frac{1}{6} - 1/6r > r$. If $x = 0$ then $|(\frac{3}{2} - 1/2r)y| \leq r/k < (\frac{3}{2} - 1/2r)$, yielding $y = 0$. If $x \geq 1$, $y \geq 1$, then $r/k \geq |x + (\frac{3}{2} - 1/2r)y| \geq \frac{5}{2} - 1/2r > \frac{3}{2} > r/k$. If $x \geq 1$, $y \leq -1$ then $r/k \geq |x - (\frac{1}{2} + 1/2r)y| > \frac{3}{2} > r/k$. So also if $x \geq 1$ then $y = 0$. ■

LEMMA 2. For each choice of integers $0 < \alpha < r$, $0 < \beta < r$, and $0 < \gamma < r$, we have $\lambda_2(H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}) < 3/2r$.

Proof. One easily finds that $H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ is determined by the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{r-\alpha}{r^2+\alpha\beta-\alpha r} x + \frac{\beta}{r^2+\alpha\beta-\alpha r} y \right| &\leq 1, \\
\left| \frac{\gamma}{r^2+\beta\gamma-\beta r} x + \frac{\beta-r-\gamma}{r^2+\beta\gamma-\beta r} y \right| &\leq 1, \\
\left| \frac{\gamma-r-\alpha}{r^2+\gamma\alpha-\gamma r} x + \frac{r-\gamma}{r^2+\gamma\alpha-\gamma r} y \right| &\leq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

For each vector (x, y) , let the norm $\|(x, y)\|$ be the minimum λ for which (x, y) belongs to $\lambda \cdot H_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$. Again, (x, y) can be easily calculated from (15). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1, 0)\| &= \frac{r+\alpha-\gamma}{r^2+\gamma\alpha-\gamma r}, \\
\|(0, 1)\| &= \frac{r+\gamma-\beta}{r^2+\beta\gamma-\beta r}, \\
\|(1, 1)\| &= \frac{r+\beta-\alpha}{r^2+\alpha\beta-\alpha r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We show that at least two of these norms are less than $3/2r$. Suppose not. By symmetry we may assume that $\|(1, 0)\| \geq 3/2r$ and $\|(0, 1)\| \geq 3/2r$. As $0 < \gamma < r$, the first norm in (16) is monotonically increasing in α , while the second norm is monotonically decreasing in β . So

$$\frac{r+\alpha-\gamma}{r^2+\gamma\alpha-\gamma r} < \frac{2r-\gamma}{r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r+\gamma-\beta}{r^2+\beta\gamma-\beta r} < \frac{r+\gamma}{r^2}. \tag{17}$$

Since $2r-\gamma \leq \frac{3}{2}r$ or $r+\gamma \leq \frac{3}{2}r$ (as $(2r-\gamma) + (r+\gamma) = 3r$), this contradicts our assumption. ■

REFERENCES

1. N. ROBERTSON AND P. D. SEYMOUR, Graph minors. VII. Disjoint paths on a surface, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **45** (1988), 212–254.
2. A. SCHRIJVER, Graphs on the torus and geometry of numbers, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **58** (1993), 147–158.
3. A. SCHRIJVER, Classification of minimal graphs of given face-width on the torus, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, to appear.